

Title:

.....

Is the paper original? Does it bring new knowledge to the discussed subject? **YES** **NO**

	YES	NO	SEE REMARKS
1. Title and summary are compatible with the content of the paper	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Interpretation and conclusion correspond with achieved results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Research methods are correct	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Paper layout is correct and legible	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Language and style of the paper, used units and terminology are correct	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Tables and pictures are necessary and legible	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Literature is quoted correctly and adequately	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Abstract in English is correct	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. The paper is suitable for publication			
▪ in current form	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ after minor revisions	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
10. The paper is not suitable for publication	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

DETAILED COMMENTS (also on the next page)

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Name and surname of the reviewer, e-mail address, tel., signature, date

I agree / I don't agree to disclose the name of the reviewer.
(delete where inapplicable, signature)

